

LOW DIMENSIONAL BOUNDED COHOMOLOGY AND EXTENSIONS OF GROUPS

NICOLAUS HEUER

ABSTRACT. Let G, N be groups and let $\psi: G \rightarrow \text{Out}(N)$ be a homomorphism. An *extension* for these data is a group E which fits into the short exact sequence $1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ such that the action of E on N by conjugation induces ψ . It is classical that extensions can be characterised using ordinary group cohomology in dimension 2 and 3. We prove that an analogous statement is true in *bounded* cohomology if we restrict to extensions which admit a quasihomomorphic section.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ be a short exact sequence of groups. For any set-theoretic section $s: G \rightarrow E$, the map $\phi: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N)$ defined via $\phi(g): n \mapsto s(g)ns(g)^{-1}$ induces a homomorphism $\psi: G \rightarrow \text{Out}(N)$ independent of s . In this case, we say that E is an extension of G by N inducing ψ . Two extensions E_1 and E_2 of G by N which induce ψ are equivalent ($E_1 \sim E_2$) if there is an isomorphism $I: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ that commutes with the maps of the short exact sequences i.e. if

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & E_1 & \longrightarrow & G \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow I & & \downarrow \text{id} \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & E_2 & \longrightarrow & G \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}.$$

is a commutative diagram. The relation $\sim$ imposes an equivalence relation among such extensions. We write $\mathcal{E}(G, N, \psi)$ for the set of all extensions of G by N inducing $\psi: G \rightarrow \text{Out}(N)$ under this equivalence relation. It is classical that this set can be fully characterised using ordinary cohomology (cf. Theorem 6.6 of [Bro82]):

Theorem. *Let G and N be groups and let $\psi: G \rightarrow \text{Out}(N)$ be a homomorphism. There is a cocycle $\text{o}(G, N, \psi) \in C^3(G, Z)$ such that $[\text{o}(G, N, \psi)] = 0$ in $H^3(G, Z)$ if and only if $\mathcal{E}(G, N, \psi) \neq \emptyset$, where $Z = Z(N)$. In this case there is a bijection between $H^2(G, Z)$ and $\mathcal{E}(G, N, \psi)$.*

Here $Z(N)$ denotes the centre of N . The aim of this paper is to show the following analogous result for bounded cohomology:

Theorem 1.1. *Let G and N be groups such that $Z = Z(N)$ is finitely generated and let $\psi: G \rightarrow \text{Out}(N)$ be a homomorphism with finite image. There is a cocycle $\text{o}_b(G, N, \psi) \in C_b^3(G, Z)$ such that $[\text{o}_b(G, N, \psi)] = 0$ in $H_b^3(G, Z)$ if and only if $\mathcal{E}_b(G, N, \psi) \neq \emptyset$ and $[\text{o}_b(G, N, \psi)] = 0$ in $H^3(G, Z)$ if and only if $\mathcal{E}(G, N, \psi) \neq \emptyset$. If $\mathcal{E}_b(G, N, \psi) \neq \emptyset$, there is a bijection from $H^2(G, Z)$ to $\mathcal{E}(G, N, \psi)$ which restricts to a bijection from $\text{im}(c^2) \subset H^2(G, Z)$ to $\mathcal{E}_b(G, N, \psi)$.*

Here $\mathcal{E}_b(G, N, \psi) \subset \mathcal{E}(G, N, \psi)$ is the subset of extensions of G by N inducing ψ consisting of all extensions E such that there is a section $f: G \rightarrow E$ which is a *quasihomomorphism* in the sense of Fujiwara and Kapovich (see Definition 1.2) and which induces a finite map $\phi: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N)$ via conjugation in E . The obstruction $\text{o}_b(G, N, \psi)$ is defined in the proof of Theorem 1.1 in

Section 2, Equation (1). The conditions of Theorem 1.1 may seem artificial but are natural as we will see at the end of Subsection 1.2.

This paper is organised as follows: Subsections 1.1 and 1.2 introduce bounded cohomology and quasihomomorphisms respectively. In Section 2 we will prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 3 we characterise the set of classes arising as obstructions o_b as in Theorem 1.1 and give examples to show that the assumptions of the theorem are necessary. For the sake of readability some calculations will be postponed to the Appendix (Section 4).

1.1. Bounded Cohomology. Let G be a group, let R be $\mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{R}$ and let $R[G]$ be the associated group ring. Let V be a normed $R[G]$ -module, i.e. a module equipped with a function $\|\cdot\|: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, the *norm* which is positive definite and satisfies the triangle inequality. Furthermore, it satisfies that the action of G on V is an isometry and that $\|r \cdot v\| \leq |r| \cdot \|v\|$ for all $r \in R$ and $v \in V$. Throughout this paper V will be finitely generated as a $\mathbb{Z}$ module. For $k \geq 1$, let $C^k(G, V)$ be the set of V -valued functions on G^k and $C_b^k(G, V) \subset C^k(G, V)$ the subset of bounded functions with respect to the supremum norm. We set $C^0(G, V) = C_b^0(G, V) = V$. The function $\delta^k: C^k(G, V) \rightarrow C^{k+1}(G, V)$ defined via

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^0(v): g_1 &\mapsto g_1 \cdot v - v \\ \delta^n(f): (g_1, \dots, g_{n+1}) &\mapsto g_1 \cdot f(g_2, \dots, g_{n+1}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i f(g_1, \dots, g_i g_{i+1}, \dots, g_{n+1}) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{n+1} f(g_1, \dots, g_n) \end{aligned}$$

for $n \geq 1$ is called coboundary operator. This operator restricts to a map $\delta^k: C_b^k(G, V) \rightarrow C_b^{k+1}(G, V)$ for $k \geq 0$. It is classical that $(C^*(G, V), \delta^*)$ is a cochain complex. We define the (ordinary) cohomology of G with V coefficients to be the homology of this cochain complex and denote it by $H^*(G, V)$. Similarly we define the bounded cohomology of G with V coefficients to be the homology of the chain complex $(C_b^*(G, V), \delta^*)$, and denote it by $H_b^*(G, V)$. Note that the inclusion $C_b^*(G, V) \hookrightarrow C^*(G, V)$ commutes with the coboundary operator and hence induces a well defined map $c^*: H_b^*(G, V) \rightarrow H^*(G, V)$, called the *comparison map*. For a thorough treatment of ordinary and bounded cohomology see [Bro82] and [Fri16].

It can be seen from the classical version of Theorem 1.1 that the ordinary cohomology in dimensions 2 and 3 can be interpreted in terms of group extensions. This paper provides an analogous interpretation for bounded cohomology. The cohomology of a group G in dimension 1 can be seen to be the group of homomorphisms from G to the coefficients V under pointwise addition. Similarly, the first bounded cohomology with V coefficients is the group of *bounded* homomorphisms $G \rightarrow V$. Hence, if V is torsion free, the first bounded cohomology is trivial.

1.2. Quasihomomorphisms. A function $f: G \rightarrow R$ where $R = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}$ satisfying that there is a constant $C \geq 0$ such that

$$|\delta^1 f(g, h)| = |f(g) + f(h) - f(gh)| \leq C$$

for all $g, h \in G$ is called *quasimorphism*. The smallest C satisfying the above inequality is called *defect* of ϕ and is denoted by $D(\phi)$. Note that the set of quasimorphisms form a module under pointwise addition and scalar multiplication. Quasimorphisms have multiple applications, are well studied and understood, and intimately related to bounded cohomology. Homomorphisms and bounded functions are quasimorphisms and the module generated by both is called the space of *trivial* quasimorphisms. The quotient of the space of quasimorphisms by the space of trivial quasimorphisms can be identified with $\ker(c^2)$, the kernel of the comparison map

$c^2: H_b^2(G, R) \rightarrow H^2(G, R)$ where the coefficients have a trivial G action. For a thorough treatment of quasimorphisms see [Cal09].

It is not obvious how to generalise quasimorphisms to maps where the image is not commutative. This paper exclusively deals with the generalisation of Fujiwara and Kapovich ([FK16]). However, we note that there are other generalisations, for example one by Hartnick and Schweitzer ([HS16]). These are considerably more general than the one we are concerned with; see Subsection 3.2.

Definition 1.2. (Fujiwara, Kapovich [FK16]) Let G and H be arbitrary groups. A function $f: G \rightarrow H$ is called *quasihomomorphism* if the *defect set*

$$D(f) = \{d(g, h) | g, h \in G\}$$

is finite, where $d(g, h) = f(g)f(h)f(gh)^{-1}$. The group $\Delta_f < H$ generated by $D(f)$ is called the *defect group*.

When there is no danger of ambiguity we will write $D = D_f$ and $\Delta = \Delta_f$. This definition slightly differs from the original definition; see Remark 1.5. However, it is easier to work with in the context of group extensions and equivalent. Just like in the case of ordinary quasimorphisms, both homomorphisms and bounded functions $f: G \rightarrow H$ are quasihomomorphisms. Similarly, for any cyclic subgroup $C < H$ and any ordinary quasimorphism $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ the map $G \rightarrow H$ defined by precomposing f with the identification $\mathbb{Z} \cong C$ is (trivially) a quasihomomorphism. Fujiwara and Kapovich showed that if the target group H is torsion free and hyperbolic these are in fact all possible quasihomomorphisms. We recall basic properties of quasihomomorphisms.

Proposition 1.3. Let $f: G \rightarrow H$ be a quasihomomorphism, D and Δ be as above and $H_0 < H$ the subgroup of H generated by $f(G)$. Then Δ is normal in H_0 . The function $\phi: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\Delta)$ defined via $\phi(g): \delta \mapsto f(g)\delta f(g)^{-1}$ has finite image and its quotient $\psi: G \rightarrow \text{Out}(\Delta)$ is a homomorphism with finite image. Moreover, the pair (d, ϕ) satisfies

$$\phi^{(g)} d(h, i) d(g, hi) = d(g, h) d(gh, i)$$

for all $g, h, i \in G$.

Here and throughout the paper, for two terms a, b in a group H and an automorphism $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(H)$, ${}^\alpha ab$ denotes the element $\alpha(a) \cdot b$.

Proof. Calculate:

$$d(g, h) d(gh, i) = f(g)f(h)f(i)f(ghi)^{-1} = {}^{f(g)} d(h, i) d(g, hi) = \phi^{(g)} d(h, i) d(g, hi)$$

so (d, ϕ) satisfies the identity of the proposition. In particular

$${}^{f(g)} d(h, i) = d(g, h) d(gh, i) d(g, hi)^{-1}$$

so $f(g)$ conjugates any $d(h, i) \in D$ into the finite set $D \cdot D \cdot D^{-1}$. Here for two sets $A, B \subset H$, we write $A \cdot B = \{a \cdot b \in H \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$ and A^{-1} denotes the set of inverses of A . This also shows that Δ is a normal subgroup of H_0 (D generates Δ) and that $\phi: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\Delta)$ has finite image. It is straightforward to see that its quotient map $\psi: G \rightarrow \text{Out}(\Delta)$ is a homomorphism with finite image. $\square$

Definition 1.4. Let G and N be groups and let $e: G \times G \rightarrow N$ and $\phi: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N)$ be functions such that

$$\phi^{(g)} e(h, i) e(g, hi) = e(g, h) e(gh, i)$$

and for all $g, h, i \in G, n \in N$,

$$e(g, h) n e(g, h)^{-1} = \phi^{(g)\phi(h)\phi(gh)^{-1}} n.$$

Then the pair (e, ϕ) satisfies the *non-abelian cocycle condition*.

The last proposition showed in particular that the pair (d, ϕ) satisfies the non-abelian cocycle condition for $N = \Delta$. Pairs that satisfy the non-abelian cocycle condition are intimately related to group extensions of G by N .

Remark 1.5. (Equivalent definitions of quasihomomorphism) $f(e) = d(e, e) \in D$ and $d(g^{-1}, g) = f(g^{-1})f(g)f(e)^{-1}$ and hence $f(g^{-1}) \in Df(g^{-1})$. Using this as well as a multiple application of Proposition 1.3 we see that $\{f(g)^{-1}f(h)^{-1}f(gh) \mid g, h \in G\}$ is a finite set. This was in fact the original definition of [FK16] for quasihomomorphisms. Analogous calculations show that in fact their definition is equivalent to ours.

In light of Proposition 1.3 the extra assumption in Theorem 1.1 that the conjugation by the quasihomomorphism induces a finite image in $\text{Aut}(N)$ is natural: Given a short exact sequence $1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ that obtains a quasihomomorphic section $f : G \rightarrow E$ it is quickly seen that $1 \rightarrow \Delta \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ is a short exact sequence where $\Delta \hookrightarrow N$, $E_0 \hookrightarrow E$ and the map to $\text{Aut}(N)$ has finite image. In fact this assumption is necessary as Example 3.4 shows.

1.3. Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank his supervisor Martin Bridson for his helpful comments and support. The author was funded by the Oxford-Cocker Graduate Scholarship. Part of this work was written at the Isaac Newton Institute in the programme *Non-Positive Curvature Group Actions and Cohomology*, supported by the EPSRC Grant EP/K032208/1.

2. EXTENSIONS AND PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We follow the outline of the proof of the classical analogue of Theorem 1.1, as found in [Bro82]. Let $1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ be a short exact sequence of groups and let $s : G \rightarrow E$ be a set-theoretic section. Let $e(g, h) = s(g)s(h)s(gh)^{-1}$ be the *defect* of s and $\phi : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N)$ be defined via $\phi(g) : n \mapsto f(g)nf(g)^{-1}$. The calculations of Proposition 1.3 show that the pair (e, ϕ) satisfies the non-abelian cocycle condition. In this case we say that (e, ϕ) is *induced* by the extension E . The key observation in characterising group extensions is that the converse holds: Every pair (e, ϕ) that satisfies the non-abelian cocycle condition gives rise to a group extension. We define $E(e, \phi)$ to be the group on the set $N \times G$ and with group multiplication

$$(n_1, g_1) \cdot (n_2, g_2) = (n_1 \phi(g_1)n_2 e(g_1, g_2), g_1 g_2)$$

We claim that the maps $N \rightarrow E(e, \phi)$ via $n \mapsto (n, 1)$ and $E(e, \phi) \rightarrow G$ via $(n, g) \mapsto g$ are homomorphisms and that

$$1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E(e, \phi) \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$$

is a short exact sequence.

Proposition 2.1. *Let G, N be groups.*

- (1) *Let $e : G \times G \rightarrow N$ and $\phi : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N)$ be functions such that (e, ϕ) satisfies the non-abelian cocycle condition. Then $E(e, \phi)$ is a group which is an extension of G by N with maps $N \rightarrow E(e, \phi)$ via $n \mapsto (n, 1)$ and $E(e, \phi) \rightarrow G$ via $(n, g) \mapsto g$. Furthermore, this extension induces (e, ϕ) .*
- (2) *Let E be an extension of G by N that induces (e, ϕ) . Then $E(e, \phi)$ is equivalent to E .*
- (3) *Let E be an extension of G by N and let $\psi : G \rightarrow \text{Out}(N)$ be the induced homomorphism. For every set-theoretic lift $\phi : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N)$ (i.e. not necessarily a homomorphism) there is a map $e : G \times G \rightarrow N$ such that (e, ϕ) satisfies the non-abelian cocycle condition and E is equivalent to $E(e, \phi)$ as an extension.*
- (4) *Let $\psi : G \rightarrow \text{Out}(N)$ be a homomorphism with finite image and let $\phi : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N)$ be a set-theoretic lift with finite image. Let E be an extension of G by N which induces ψ . Then there is a section $s : G \rightarrow E$ which is a quasihomomorphism and which induces a*

finite map to $\text{Aut}(N)$ if and only if there is a function $e: G \times G \rightarrow N$ with finite image such that (e, ϕ) satisfies the non-abelian cocycle condition and E is equivalent to $E(e, \phi)$.

Note that this proposition reduces the problem of finding extensions of G by N inducing a homomorphism ψ to the problem of finding functions e such that (e, ϕ) satisfy the non-abelian cocycle condition for a fixed lift ϕ .

Proof. $E(e, \phi)$ is a group by a straightforward calculation performed in the Appendix (Subsection 4.0.1) and it is easily seen to be an extension of G by N . The section $s: G \rightarrow E(e, \phi)$ via $g \mapsto (1, g)$ induces (e, ϕ) which satisfies the cocycle condition by the same calculation as in the proof of Proposition 1.3 which shows (1). Let E be an extension of G by N and choose a section $s: G \rightarrow E$ such that (e, ϕ) is induced via this section. Let $i: N \rightarrow E$ be the injection and $p: E \rightarrow G$ be the surjection. Then the maps $I: E \rightarrow E(e, \phi)$ via $e \mapsto (e \cdot s \circ p(e)^{-1}, p(e))$ and $E(e, \phi) \rightarrow E$ via $(n, g) \mapsto i(n) \cdot s(g)$ are seen to be inverse homomorphisms and it is easy to see that E and $E(e, \phi)$ are equivalent, which shows (2). To see (3) let $\psi: G \rightarrow \text{Out}(N)$ be the homomorphism. For any lift $\phi: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N)$ we can find a section $s: G \rightarrow E$ such that ϕ acts on N as conjugation by s since we can modify s by an arbitrary map $G \rightarrow N$. This section then gives to the desired function e .

Let ψ and ϕ be as in the assumptions of (4). Suppose there is a quasimorphic section $s: G \rightarrow E$ which induces a finite image to $\text{Aut}(N)$. Then there is a finite-valued function $n: G \rightarrow N$ such that $s': G \rightarrow E$ induces ϕ where $s'(g) = s(g)n(g)$. Because of the assumptions, s' can also be seen to be a quasihomomorphism. On the other hand if (e, ϕ) satisfies the non-abelian cocycle condition with $e: G \times G \rightarrow N$ finite image then $g \mapsto (1, g)$ necessarily is a quasihomomorphism which induces the finite valued function ϕ . $\square$

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\psi: G \rightarrow \text{Out}(N)$ be a homomorphism and let $\phi: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N)$ be a set-theoretic lift. Let $e: G \times G \rightarrow N$ be such that (e, ϕ) satisfies the non-abelian cocycle condition.*

- (1) *Let $e': G \times G \rightarrow N$ be a function representing the defect of ϕ i.e. the function $(g, h) \mapsto \phi(g)\phi(h)\phi(gh)^{-1}$. Then (e', ϕ) satisfies the non-abelian cocycle condition if and only if there is a function $c: G \times G \rightarrow Z$ where Z denotes the centre of N such that*

$$e(g, h) = e'(g, h) c(g, h)$$

for all $g, h \in G$.

- (2) *Let $e'': G \times G \rightarrow N$ be another function such that (e'', ϕ) satisfies the non-abelian cocycle condition. Then $E(e, \phi)$ and $E(e'', \phi)$ are equivalent if and only if there is a function $z: G \rightarrow Z$ where Z is the centre of N such that*

$$e(g, h) = e''(g, h) \delta^1 z(g, h)$$

for all $g, h \in G$.

Proof. To see the first part, note that both $e(g, h)$ and $e'(g, h)$ have to represent $\phi(g)\phi(h)\phi(gh)^{-1}$ and hence only differ by an element in the centre. For the second part let (n, g) and $(n, g)''$ denote the coordinates of $E(e, \phi)$ and $E(e'', \phi)$ respectively. An isomorphism $E(e, \phi) \rightarrow E(e'', \phi)$ which commutes with the maps of the short exact sequences necessarily has to have the form $(n, g) \mapsto (n z(g), g)$. The function $z: G \rightarrow N$ maps to the centre as for both extensions the sections $s: g \mapsto (1, g)$ and $s'': g \mapsto (1, g)''$ induce the map ϕ . The statement follows by calculating the defect of s and s'' . $\square$

We can now give a proof to Theorem 1.1.

Proof. Recall that $\mathcal{E}_b(G, N, \psi)$ denotes the subset of extensions E of G by N that induce the homomorphism $\psi: G \rightarrow \text{Out}(N)$ with finite image such that there is a section $s: G \rightarrow E$ which

is a quasihomomorphism that induces a finite valued map $G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N)$ via conjugation in E . Fix a (set theoretic) lift $\phi: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N)$ of ψ with finite image. Recall that we assume Z , the centre of N , to be finitely generated.

Suppose that $\mathcal{E}_b(G, N, \psi) \neq \emptyset$ and fix $E \in \mathcal{E}_b(G, N, \psi)$. By Proposition 2.1 (4) there is a function $e_0: G \times G \rightarrow N$ with finite image such that E is equivalent to $E(e_0, \phi)$. We claim that the map

$$\begin{aligned} H^2(G, Z) &\rightarrow \mathcal{E}(G, N, \psi) \\ [\omega] &\mapsto E(e_0 \omega, \phi) \end{aligned}$$

is a bijection which restricts to a bijection $H^2(G, Z) \supset \text{im}(c^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_b(G, N, \psi)$. Note that for any cocycle $\omega \in C^2(G, Z)$ the map e defined via $e(g, h) = e_0(g, h)\omega(g, h)$ necessarily satisfies the non-abelian cocycle condition as well. Lemma 2.2 shows that the two pairs $(e_0\omega, \phi)$, $(e_0\omega', \phi)$ that satisfy the non-abelian cocycle condition give rise to an equivalent extension if and only if there is a map $z \in C^1(G, Z)$ such that $\omega = \omega' + \delta^1 z$. This shows that the map $H^2(G, Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(G, N, \psi)$ is injective.

By Proposition 2.1 any group extension E arises as a group extension $E(e, \phi)$, where (e, ϕ) satisfies the non-abelian cocycle condition. By the lemma there is a function $c \in C^2(G, Z)$ such that $e(g, h) = e_0(g, h)c(g, h)$. By comparing the cocycle conditions we see that c is an honest cocycle and hence the map $H^2(G, Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(G, N, \psi)$ is a bijection. By the same argument and restricting to classes where $e: G \times G \rightarrow N$ is bounded we see that this map induces a bijection $\text{im}(c^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_b(G, N, \psi)$.

In view of Proposition 2.1, $\mathcal{E}_b(G, N, \psi)$ is not empty if and only if there is a finite map $e: G \times G \rightarrow N$ such that (e, ϕ) satisfies the non-abelian cocycle condition. Now we define the obstruction $o_b(G, N, \psi)$. Pick for each $g, h \in G$ an element $e(g, h) \in N$ such that $e(g, h)n e(g, h) = \phi(g)\phi(h)\phi(gh)^{-1} n$ and such that $e(g, h)$ has finite image. Define the function $(g, h, i) \mapsto o_b(g, h, i) \in N$ via

$$(1) \quad \phi(g) e(h, i) e(g, hi) = o_b(g, h, i) e(g, h) e(gh, i)$$

and observe that o_b necessarily has finite image as $e: G \times G \rightarrow N$ and $\phi: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N)$ have finite image. We claim that o_b maps to Z and that $o_b \in C_b^3(G, Z)$ is a cocycle, i.e. $\delta^3 o_b = 0$. Moreover we claim that $[o_b] \in H_b^3(G, Z)$ is independent of the choices made. The proof of these claims are calculations which are postponed to Appendix 4.0.2. We call this class $[o_b] \in H_b^3(G, Z)$ the *obstruction of (G, N, ψ)* and denote it by $o_b(G, N, \psi)$.

If $[o_b(G, N, \psi)] = 0 \in H_b^3(G, Z)$, then there is a $c \in C_b^2(G, Z)$ such that

$$o_b(g, h, i) = \phi(g) c(h, i) - c(gh, i) + c(g, hi) - c(g, h)$$

for all $g, h, i \in G$. Define $e': G \times G \rightarrow N$ via $e'(g, h) = e(g, h)c(g, h)^{-1}$. Then e' is bounded and (e', ϕ) satisfies the non-abelian cocycle condition and hence $E(e', \phi) \in \mathcal{E}_b(G, N, \psi)$.

For e as above, each $e': G \times G \rightarrow N$ such that (e', ϕ) satisfies the non-abelian cocycle condition has to have the form $e'(g, h) = e(g, h)c(g, h)$ where $c(g, h) \in Z$. If $\mathcal{E}_b(G, N, \psi) = \emptyset$ there is no $e': G \times G \rightarrow N$ bounded such that (e', ϕ) satisfies the non-abelian cocycle condition. Hence there is no $c \in C_b^2(G, Z)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(g) e(h, i) \phi(g) c(h, i) e(g, hi) c(g, hi) &= e(g, h) c(g, h) e(gh, i) c(gh, i) \\ \phi(g) e(h, i) e(g, hi) &= \delta^2 c(g, h, i)^{-1} e(g, h) e(gh, i) \end{aligned}$$

which shows in particular that $[o_b] \neq 0 \in H_b^3(G, Z)$. By precisely the same argument without requiring that e' is bounded we see that $[o_b(G, N, \psi)] = 0 \in H^3(G, Z)$ if and only if $\mathcal{E}(G, N, \psi) \neq \emptyset$. $\square$

3. THE SET OF OBSTRUCTIONS AND EXAMPLES

Theorem 1.1 gives us a machinery to construct classes $[o] \in H_b^3(G, Z)$, for Z finitely generated and the action of G on Z finite. One may wonder how many such classes $[o] \in H_b^3(G, Z)$ arise as an obstruction. In the classical theory, every cocycle in $H^3(G, Z)$ arises as such an obstruction.

Theorem. (Section IV [Bro82]) *Let G be a group, Z a G -module, then for every $\omega \in H^3(G, Z)$ there is a group N with $Z(N) = Z$ and a homomorphism $\psi: G \rightarrow \text{Out}(N)$ extending the action of G on Z such that $\omega = [o(G, N, \psi)] \in H^3(G, Z)$.*

For Z a finitely generated abelian group with a finite G action define the *set of obstructions*

$$\mathcal{O}_b(G, Z) = \{[o_b(G, N, \psi)] \in H_b^3(G, Z) \mid Z = Z(N), {}^{\psi(g)}z = g \cdot z, \psi: G \rightarrow \text{Out}(N) \text{ finite}\}$$

Observe that in the construction of $o_b(G, N, \psi)$ we could choose o_b as a pullback of the finite group $\text{Out}(N)$ via the homomorphism $\psi: G \rightarrow \text{Out}(N)$. This motivates the definition

$$\mathcal{F}(G, Z) := \{f^*k \in H_b^3(G, Z) \mid f: G \rightarrow M \text{ a homomorphism, } M \text{ a finite group, } k \in H^3(M, Z)\}$$

and f^*k denotes the pullback of k via the homomorphism f . As M is finite, k is necessarily bounded.

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a group and Z be a finitely generated abelian group with finite G -action. Then*

$$\mathcal{O}_b(G, Z) = \mathcal{F}(G, Z)$$

as subsets of $H_b^3(G, Z)$.

This fully characterises the obstructions. In particular it shows that no "exotic" cocycles arise.

Proof. We have just seen that $\mathcal{O}(G, Z) \subset \mathcal{F}(G, Z)$ above. Hence, for every finite group M and a class $Z \in H^3(M, Z)$ we wish to construct a group N and a homomorphism $\psi: M \rightarrow \text{Out}(N)$ which induces k as a cocycle. We recall a construction of [Mac67]. We may assume that $k(1, g, h) = k(g, 1, h) = k(g, h, 1) = 0$ for all $g, h \in G$.

Define the abstract symbols $\langle g, h \rangle$ for each $1 \neq g, h \in M$ and set $\langle g, 1 \rangle = \langle 1, g \rangle = \langle 1, 1 \rangle = 1$ for the abstract symbol 1. Let F be the free group on these symbols and set 1 to be the identity element. Set $N = Z \times F$. Define the function $\phi: M \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N)$ so that for $g \in M$ the action of $\phi(g)$ on F is given by

$$\phi(g)\langle h, i \rangle = k(g, h, i)\langle g, h \rangle\langle gh, i \rangle\langle g, hi \rangle^{-1}$$

and so that the action of $\phi(g)$ on Z is given by the M -action on Z . A direct calculation yields that for each $g \in M$, $\phi(g): N \rightarrow N$ indeed defines an isomorphism. Here, we need the assumption $k(1, g, h) = k(g, 1, h) = k(g, h, 1) = 0$. Also it can be seen that for all $n \in N$ and $g_1, g_2 \in F$

$$\phi(g_1)\phi(g_2)n = \tau_{\langle g_1, g_2 \rangle} \circ \phi(g_1 g_2) n$$

where we have to use the fact the k is a cocycle. Here, for a group G and an element $g \in G$, the map $\tau_g: G \rightarrow G$ denotes the left conjugation $\tau_g: h \mapsto ghg^{-1}$. Hence, $\phi: M \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N)$ is well defined and induces a homomorphism $\psi: M \rightarrow \text{Out}(N)$. It is easy to see that ψ induces the M -action on Z . If $M \not\cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, the centre of N is Z . In this case, to calculate $o_b(M, N, \psi)$ we choose as representatives for $\phi(g)\phi(h)\phi(gh)^{-1}$ simply $\langle g, h \rangle$ and then see by definition that $o_b(M, N, \psi)$ is precisely k .

If $M = \mathbb{Z}_2$ then the centre of N as constructed above is not Z But all of N . However, we can enlarge M by setting $\tilde{M} = M \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. We have both a homomorphism $p: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ via $(m, z) \mapsto m$ and a homomorphism $i: M \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ via $m \mapsto (m, 1)$ such that $p \circ i = \text{id}_M$. Let $\tilde{k} \in H^3(\tilde{M}, Z)$ be the pullback of k via p . Let $\tilde{N}$ be the group constructed as above with this cocycle and let

$\tilde{\phi} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\tilde{N})$ and $\tilde{\psi} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \text{Out}(\tilde{N})$ be the corresponding functions. The centre of $\tilde{N}$ is Z . Set $\psi : M \rightarrow \text{Out}(\tilde{N})$ via $\psi = \tilde{\psi} \circ i$. Then the obstruction $\text{o}_b(M, \tilde{N}, \psi)$ can be seen to be k . $\square$

3.1. Examples. The subset $\mathcal{E}_b(G, N, \psi)$ of $\mathcal{E}(G, N, \psi)$ is generally neither empty nor all of $\mathcal{E}(G, N, \psi)$. For any hyperbolic group we have $\mathcal{E}_b(G, N, \psi) = \mathcal{E}(G, N, \psi)$ as the comparison map is surjective ([Min02]). We give different examples where the inclusion $\mathcal{E}_b(G, N, \psi) \subset \mathcal{E}(G, N, \psi)$ is strict:

Example 3.2. Let $G = \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $N = \mathbb{Z}$ and ψ be trivial. The direct product

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow 1$$

clearly has a quasihomomorphic section that induces a finite map to $\text{Aut}(N)$ hence $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{E}_b(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{Z}, \text{id})$. $H^2(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by the Heisenberg cocycle ω , which does not admit a bounded representative. Hence $\text{im}(c^2) = 0$ and so by Theorem 1.1 $\{\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^2\} = \mathcal{E}_b(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{Z}, \text{id})$. However the Heisenberg extension

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow 1$$

is another non-equivalent extension, which hence can't admit a quasihomomorphic section. So $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{E}_b(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{Z}, \text{id}) \subsetneq \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{Z}, \text{id})$.

Example 3.3. $\mathcal{E}_b(G, N, \psi)$ can be empty and $\mathcal{E}(G, N, \psi)$ nonempty. By Theorem 3.1 this happens if and only if there is a cocycle k such that $0 \neq [k] \in H_b^3(G, Z)$, $0 = [k] \in H^3(G, Z)$ and k factors through a finite group.

Let $G = \mathbb{Z}^2$ and let $Z = \mathbb{Z}$. Define the map $o \in C^2(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{Z})$ via $o(v, w) = \lfloor \frac{1}{2} \det(v, w) \rfloor$ and $k = \delta^2 o \in C_b^3(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{Z})$. Obviously $0 = [k] \in H^3(G, Z)$. By exploiting the linear and quadratic growth of o , one sees that $[k]$ does not have a bounded coboundary and hence $0 \neq [k] \in H_b^3(G, Z)$. Furthermore, one can see that k factors through the finite group $\mathbb{Z}_2$.

Example 3.4. The assumption that the quasihomomorphism $f : G \rightarrow E$ has to induce a finite map to $\text{Aut}(N)$ may seem artificial, as the induced homomorphism to $\text{Out}(N)$ already has finite image. However it is necessary as the following example shows.

Set $C = \mathbb{Z}$ and $Z = \mathbb{Z}^2$. The Heisenberg Group $\mathcal{H}_3$ is the central extension $C \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_3 \rightarrow Z$ corresponding to the determinant-cocycle $\omega \in H^2(Z, C)$. Since it is a central extension of Z , its inner automorphism group is isomorphic to $Z \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$. Let G be another copy of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ and let ϕ be the isomorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow \text{Inn}(\mathcal{H}_3) \cong Z$. Let $E = \mathcal{H}_3 \rtimes_{\phi} G$, the semi-direct product of $\mathcal{H}_3$ and G via ϕ .

The group G acts on $\mathcal{H}_3$ via inner automorphisms hence the induced map to the outer automorphisms is trivial. We will see that $E \notin \mathcal{E}_b(G, \mathcal{H}_3, \text{id})$. Clearly $\mathcal{E}_b(G, \mathcal{H}_3, \text{id})$ is not empty as it contains the direct product $\mathcal{H}_3 \times G$ which is not isomorphic to E . By Theorem 1.1, $\mathcal{E}_b(G, \mathcal{H}_3, \text{id})$ is in a bijective correspondence to $\text{im}(c^2)$ for $c^2 : H_b^2(G, Z) \rightarrow H^2(G, Z)$, where G acts on Z with trivial action. It is well known that the comparison map has trivial image, hence $\mathcal{E}_b(G, \mathcal{H}_3, \text{id})$ only consists of the direct product and in particular does not contain E .

However, there are two very different sort of sections $G \rightarrow E$ which both satisfy partially the requirements of being in $\mathcal{E}_b(G, \mathcal{H}_3, \text{id})$: As E is a semidirect product there are sections which are quasihomomorphism, in fact homomorphisms. Also, as the homomorphism to $\text{Out}(\mathcal{H}_3)$ is trivial, there is a section which induces a trivial map to $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{H}_3)$. However, we can't have both properties for sections $s : G \rightarrow E$ simultaneously.

3.2. Generalisations. One interesting aspect of Theorem 1.1 is that it gives a characterisation of classes in *third* bounded cohomology, the obstructions. One may wonder in view of Theorem 3.1 if another definition of "quasihomomorphism" gives more interesting classes by considering

only extensions which obtain this other definition of quasimorphism. This thought has some limitations:

Soma ([Som97]) showed that the third bounded cohomology of the free group is uncountable dimensional. However, there should not be "obstructions" in extensions of the free group at least under the natural assumption that the class of "quasimorphisms" considered contains homomorphisms.

Another much broader definition of quasimorphisms can be found in [HS16] by Hartnick and Schweitzer. They consider maps $f: G \rightarrow H$ such that the pullback of any ordinary quasimorphism on H gives a quasimorphism on G . Here, there are even less obstructions: Let $G = \langle S \mid R \rangle$ be a torsion free group satisfying the small cancellation condition $C'(\frac{1}{8})$. Let $F(S) \rightarrow G$ be the obvious homomorphism. Rips and Sela ([RS95]) gave a construction of a section (normal form) $\theta: G \rightarrow F(S)$ which can be seen to be a quasimorphism in the sense of Hartnick and Schweitzer. This shows that for G as above and any surjection $E \rightarrow G$ there always is a section $s: G \rightarrow E$ which is a quasimorphism in the sense of Hartnick and Schweitzer. It would be interesting to study groups with this property and groups where this property fails to hold.

4. APPENDIX: CALCULATIONS

4.0.1. $E(e, \phi)$ is a group. We have to check the group axioms. We start by associativity.

$$\begin{aligned} ((n_1, g_1) \cdot (n_2, g_2)) \cdot (n_3, g_3) &= \left(n_1^{\phi(g_1)} n_2 e(g_1, g_2) \right) \cdot (n_3, g_3) \\ &= (n_1^{\phi(g_1)} n_2 e(g_1, g_2)^{\phi(g_1 g_2)} n_3 e(g_1 g_2, g_3), g_1 g_2 g_3) \\ &= (n_1^{\phi(g_1)} n_2^{\phi(g_1) \phi(g_2)} n_3 e(g_1, g_2) e(g_1 g_2, g_3)) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (n_1, g_1) \cdot ((n_2, g_2) \star (n_3, g_3)) &= (n_1, g_2) \cdot (n_2^{\phi(g_2)} n_3 e(g_2, g_3), g_2 g_3) \\ &= (n_1^{\phi(g_1)} n_2^{\phi(g_1) \phi(g_2)} n_3^{\phi(g_1)} e(g_2, g_3) e(g_1, g_2 g_3), g_1 g_2 g_3) \end{aligned}$$

As (e, ϕ) satisfies the non-abelian cocycle condition we see that both terms are equal, so $\cdot$ is associative. The identity can be seen to be $(e(1, 1)^{-1}, 1)$.

It is a calculation to check that the inverse of (n, g) is

$$(e(1, 1)^{-1} e(g^{-1}, g)^{-1})^{\phi(g^{-1})} n^{-1}, g^{-1})$$

4.0.2. The obstruction $o_b(G, N, \psi)$.

Claim. o is a cocycle of $C_b^3(G, Z)$

Calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi(g)\phi(h) e(i, k) \left(\phi(g) e(h, ik) e(g, hik) \right) \\ &= \phi(g)\phi(h) e(i, k) o_b(g, h, ik) e(g, h) e(gh, ik) \\ &= e(g, h)^{\phi(gh)} e(i, k) o_b(gh, ik) o_b(g, h, ik) \\ &= e(g, h) e(gh, i) e(ghi, k) o_b(g, h, ik) o_b(gh, i, k) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\phi^{(g)} \phi^{(h)} e(i, k) \phi^{(g)} e(h, ik) \right) e(g, hik) \\
&= \phi^{(g)} (o_b(h, i, k) e(h, i) e(hi, k)) e(g, hik) \\
&= \phi^{(g)} e(h, i) e(g, hi) e(ghi, k) \phi^{(g)} o_b(h, i, k) o_b(g, hi, k) \\
&= e(g, h) e(gh, i) e(ghi, k) o_b(g, h, i) \phi^{(g)} o_b(h, i, k) o_b(g, hi, k)
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, comparing these two terms yields

$$\partial o_b(g, h, i, k) = \phi^{(g)} o_b(h, i, k) - o_b(gh, i, k) + o_b(g, hi, k) - o_b(g, h, ik) + o_b(g, h, i) = 0$$

So o_b really is a cocycle.

Claim. $[o_b] \in H_b^3(G, Z)$ is well defined i.e. independent of the choice of e and ϕ .

Let $\phi, \phi' : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N)$ be two finite lifts of ψ and let defined as above and choose corresponding functions e, e' representing the defect of ϕ and ϕ' respectively. There is a finite function $n : G \rightarrow N$ such that $\phi(g) = \bar{n}(g)\phi'(g)$ where $\bar{n}(g)$ is the element in $\text{Inn}(N) \subset \text{Aut}(N)$ represented by $n(g)$.

Hence:

$$\phi(g)\phi(h)\phi(gh)^{-1} = \bar{n}(g)^{\phi'(g)} \bar{n}(h) (\phi'(g)\phi'(h)\phi'(gh)^{-1}) \bar{n}(gh)^{-1}$$

As a functions to $\text{Inn}(N)$. Lifting this to N gives:

$$e(g, h) = z(g, h)n(g)^{\phi'(g)}n(h)e'(g, h)n(gh)^{-1}$$

where $z : G \times G \rightarrow Z$ is a finite-valued function to Z , the centre of N . It is a calculation to show that o_b , the obstruction defined via the choices ϕ and e and o'_b , the obstruction defined via the choices ϕ' and e' differ by $\delta^2 z$ and hence define the same class in bounded cohomology.

REFERENCES

- [Bro82] Kenneth S. Brown. *Cohomology of groups*, volume 87 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.
- [Cal09] Danny Calegari. *scl*, volume 20 of *MSJ Memoirs*. Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 2009.
- [FK16] Koji Fujiwara and Michael Kapovich. On quasihomomorphisms with noncommutative targets. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 26(2):478–519, 2016.
- [Fri16] Roberto Frigerio. *Bounded cohomology of discrete groups*. arXiv:1610.08339, 2016.
- [HS16] Tobias Hartnick and Pascal Schweitzer. On quasioutomorphism groups of free groups and their transitivity properties. *J. Algebra*, 450:242–281, 2016.
- [Mac67] Saunders MacLane. *Homology*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, first edition, 1967. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 114.
- [Min02] Igor Mineyev. Bounded cohomology characterizes hyperbolic groups. *Q. J. Math.*, 53(1):59–73, 2002.
- [RS95] E. Rips and Z. Sela. Canonical representatives and equations in hyperbolic groups. *Invent. Math.*, 120(3):489–512, 1995.
- [Som97] Teruhiko Soma. Bounded cohomology and topologically tame Kleinian groups. *Duke Math. J.*, 88(2):357–370, 1997.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD
E-mail address, N. Heuer: `heuer@maths.ox.ac.uk`